Appl. No. 10/731,623 Amdt. dated November 24, 2010 Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2010

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

1

2

3

1 1. (Currently amended) A method of intercepting a transaction instantiated
2 by a database application to determine if an electronic signature is necessary to commit the
3 transaction to the database, the method comprising:

in response to a triggering action generated by the database application, calling an application program interface with one or more processors associated with one or more computer systems to raise an event indicative of a signature collection process;

initiating a workflow process defined by the event with the one or more processors associated with one or more computer systems that initiates the transaction instantiated by the database application with the database without committing the initiated transaction to the database:

executing a rule specified by the workflow process <u>with the one or more</u>

<u>processors associated with one or more computer systems</u> to determine if an electronic signature is required to approve the transaction; and

if execution of the rule results in a determination that an electronic signature is required for the initiated transaction to be committed to the database, instantiating the signature collection process with the one or more processors associated with one or more computer systems.

 (Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein calling the application program interface comprises calling the application program interface based on an event name and an event id. Appl. No. 10/731,623 Amdt. dated November 24, 2010 Reply to Office Action of October 25, 2007

1

2

3

4

- 1 3. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein instantiating the 2 signature collection process comprises instantiating either a synchronous collection process or an 3 asynchronous collection process.
 - 4. (Previously presented) The method of claim 3 wherein calling the application program interface comprises calling the application program interface based on an event name, an event id and an indication of whether the signature collection process is a synchronous process or an asynchronous process.
- 1 5. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the workflow 2 process generates an electronic record that captures data associated with the initiated transaction 3 prior to the initiated transaction being committed to the database.
- 1 6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5 wherein the electronic record comprises unstructured data.
- (Previously Presented) The method of claim 6 wherein the unstructured
 data comprises extensible markup language data stored in character large object (CLOB) format.
- 1 8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7 wherein the extensible
 2 markup language data comprises a first well-formed extensible markup language document that
 3 comprises extensible markup language fields generated from a mapping to fields in a database
 4 and a second well-formed extensible markup language document that comprises the electronic
 5 record as it is displayed to a user during the signature collection process.
- 1 9. (Original) The method of claim 5 further comprising:
 2 obtaining an electronic signature in response to the signature collection process;
 3 and
- 4 thereafter, verifying the electronic signature and, if the electronic signature is 5 verified, updating a field of the electronic record to indicate a valid signature was received.

Appl. No. 10/731,623 Amdt, dated November 24, 2010 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2136

1

2

3

13.

collection process.

1	10. (Previously presented) The method of claim 9 further comprising
2	committing the initiated transaction to the database if the electronic signature is verified.
1	11. (Previously presented) A computer system comprising:
2	a processor;
3	a database; and
4	a computer-readable memory coupled to the processor, the computer-readable
5	memory configured to store a computer program;
6	wherein the processor is operative with the computer program to:
7	(i) call an application program interface to raise an event indicative of a
8	signature collection process in response to a triggering action generated by the database
9	application;
10	(ii) initiate a workflow process defined by the event that initiates the
11	transaction instantiated by the database application with the database without committing the
12	initiated transaction to the database;
13	(iii) execute a rule specified by the workflow process to determine if an
14	electronic signature is required to approve the transaction; and
15	(iv) instantiate the signature collection process if execution of the rule
16	results in a determination that an electronic signature is required for the initiated transaction to be
17	committed to the database.
1	12. (Previously presented) The computer system of claim 11 wherein the
2	processor is operative with the computer program to call the application program interface based
3	on an event name and an event id.

signature collection process can be either a synchronous collection process or an asynchronous

(Previously Presented) The computer system of claim 11 wherein the

Appl. No. 10/731,623 Amdt. dated November 24, 2010 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2136

4 and

1

2

3

- 1 14. (Previously presented) The computer system of claim 11 wherein the 2 workflow process generates an electronic record that captures data associated with the initiated 3 transaction prior to the initiated transaction being committed to the database.
- 15. (Previously Presented) The computer system of claim 14 wherein the
 electronic record comprises unstructured data.
- 1 16. (Previously Presented) The computer system of claim 15 wherein the 2 unstructured data comprises extensible markup language data stored in character large object 3 (CLOB) format.
- 1 17. (Previously Presented) The computer system of claim 16 wherein the
 2 extensible markup language data comprises a first well-formed extensible markup language
 3 document that comprises extensible markup language fields generated from a mapping to fields
 4 in a database and a second well-formed extensible markup language document that comprises the
 5 electronic record as it is displayed to a user during the signature collection process.
- 1 18. (Previously presented) The computer system of claim 15 further
 2 comprising:
 3 obtaining an electronic signature in response to the signature collection process;
- 5 thereafter, verifying the electronic signature and, if the electronic signature is
 6 verified, updating a field of the electronic record to indicate a valid signature was received.
 - 19. (Previously presented) The computer system of claim 11 wherein the processor is further operative with the computer program to commit the initiated transaction to the database if the electronic signature is verified.
- 1 20. (Currently amended) A computer program product having a non-
 2 <u>transitory</u> computer-readable storage medium storing a set of code modules which when

Appl. No. 10/731,623 Amdt, dated November 24, 2010 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2136

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

committed to the database

executed by a processor of a computer system cause the processor to intercept a transaction 3 4 instantiated by a database application to determine if an electronic signature is necessary to 5 commit the transaction to the database, the computer-readable medium program product comprising: 6 7 code for calling an application program interface to raise an event indicative of a

signature collection process in response to a triggering action generated by the database application;

code for initiating a workflow process defined by the event that initiates the transaction instantiated by the database application with the database without committing the initiated transaction to the database;

electronic signature is required to approve the transaction; and code for instantiating the signature collection process if execution of the rule results in a determination that an electronic signature is required for the initiated transaction to be

code for executing a rule specified by the workflow process to determine if an

- 21. (Currently amended) The computer-readable medium program product of 2 claim 20 wherein the code for initiating the workflow process comprises code for generating an 3 electronic record that captures data associated with the initiated transaction prior to the initiated 4 transaction being committed to the database.
- 1 22 (Currently amended) The computer-readable medium program product of claim 20 wherein the electronic record comprises unstructured data. 2
- 1 23. (Currently amended) The computer-readable medium program product of 2 claim 22 wherein the unstructured data comprises extensible markup language data stored in 3 character large object (CLOB) format.
- 1 24 (Currently amended) The computer-readable medium program product of 2 claim 23 wherein the extensible markup language data comprises a first well-formed extensible

Appl. No. 10/731,623 Amdt. dated November 24, 2010 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2136

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

markup language document that comprises extensible markup language fields generated from a
 mapping to fields in a database and a second well-formed extensible markup language document
 that comprises the electronic record as it is displayed to a user during the signature collection
 process.

25. (Currently amended) A method for committing database transactions, the method comprising:

generating, with one or more processors associated with one or more computer

<u>systems</u>, information indicative of one or more triggering conditions associated with an event indicative of a signature collection process and processing that occurs when the event is evoked; in response to determining that the one or more triggering conditions are satisfied by a database program, calling an application program interface <u>with the one or more processors</u> associated with the one or more computer systems to raise the event;

invoking, with the one or more processors associated with the one or more computer systems, a portion of the processing that occurs when the event is evoked to initiate a transaction instantiated by the database application with a database without committing the transaction instantiated by the database application to the database;

invoking, with the one or more processors associated with the one or more computer systems, a workflow process that executes a set of rules to determine whether an electronic signature is required to approve an electronic record representative of the precommitted transaction instantiated by the database application; and

generating, with the one or more processors associated with the one or more computer systems, an indication of results of the signature collection process with the electronic record prior to committing the transaction instantiated by the database application to the database.

1 26. (Previously presented) The method of claim 25 further comprising:
2 committing the transaction instantiated by the database application to the database
3 in response to an approval of the electronic record during the signature collection process.

Appl. No. 10/731,623 Amdt. dated November 24, 2010 Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2010

1 27. (Previously presented) The method of claim 25 further comprising:
2 rolling back the transaction instantiated by the database application with the
3 database in response to disapproval of the electronic record during the signature collection
4 process.